The Quantum Wasserstein Distance of Order 1

Giacomo De Palma

GdP, Cambyse Rouzé Annales Henri Poincaré 23, 3391 (2022)

GdP, Milad Marvian, Cambyse Rouzé, Daniel Stilck França <u>PRX Quantum 4, 010309 (2023)</u>

> GdP, Dario Trevisan Annales Henri Poincaré 24, 4237 (2023)

Quantum spin systems

- Finite set of spins Λ endowed with distance
- Associate to each spin $x \in \Lambda$ the local Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_x = \mathbb{C}^d$
- Hamiltonian with finite-range interactions
- Gibbs state $\omega = \frac{e^{-\beta H}}{{\rm Tr}\,e^{-\beta H}}$
- If correlations decay sufficiently fast, ω satisfies TCI

$$\frac{1}{n} \left\| \rho - \omega \right\|_{W_1} \le \sqrt{\frac{O(1)}{n}} S(\rho \| \omega)$$

 Holds at high enough temperature for any finite-range commuting Hamiltonian [see also Onorati, Rouzé, França, Watson, <u>arXiv:2301.12946</u>]

Equivalence of ensembles

- Canonical ensemble: Gibbs states
- Microcanonical ensemble: uniform convex combination of all states in energy shell
- Assume that Gibbs state satisfies TCI

$$\frac{1}{n} \|\rho - \omega\|_{W_1} \le \sqrt{\frac{c}{n} S(\rho \|\omega)}$$

- Then, any ρ with same average energy as ω and approximately same entropy as ω is close to ω

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho H\right] = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\omega H\right] \implies \frac{1}{n} \|\rho - \omega\|_{W_1} \le \sqrt{\frac{c}{n}} \left(S(\omega) - S(\rho)\right)$$

Ok if fraction of states in shell is

$$e^{-o(n)}$$

Quantum spin systems on \mathbb{Z}^D

- Associate to each $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ local Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_x = \mathbb{C}^d$
- Associate to each $\Lambda \subset \subset \mathbb{Z}^D$ the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda} = \bigotimes_{x \in \Lambda} \mathcal{H}_x$$

- Algebra of operators acting on Λ : \mathfrak{U}_{Λ}
- Local algebra

$$\mathfrak{U}_{\mathbb{Z}^D} = \bigcup_{\Lambda \subset \subset \mathbb{Z}^D} \mathfrak{U}_{\Lambda}$$

- Quantum state: Positive unital linear functional on $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathbb{Z}^D}$
- We consider translation-invariant states
- Marginal states $\rho_{\Lambda} \in S_{\Lambda} : \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda}} [\rho_{\Lambda} A] = \rho(A) \qquad \forall A \in \mathfrak{U}_{\Lambda}$

Interactions

- Interaction: collection of observables $\{h_{\Lambda} \in \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}\}_{\Lambda \subset \subset \mathbb{Z}^D}$
- Hamiltonian of region Λ : $H^h_{\Lambda} = \sum_{X \subseteq \Lambda} h_X$
- We consider translation-invariant interactions with finite local norm

$$\|h\|_r = \sum_{0 \in \Lambda \subset \subset \mathbb{Z}^D} e^{r(|\Lambda| - 1)} \|h_\Lambda\|_{\infty} < \infty \qquad r > 0$$

Specific energy of TI state

$$E_h(\rho) = \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^D} \frac{\rho(H_\Lambda^h)}{|\Lambda|}$$

Gibbs states

Specific entropy of TI state

$$s(\rho) = \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^D} \frac{S(\rho_\Lambda)}{|\Lambda|}$$

- Equilibrium states of TI interaction: Maximizers of $s(\rho)-E_h(\rho)$
- Always exist but in general are not unique
- Satisfy KMS condition
- Local Gibbs states (NOT equal to marginals of equilibrium states) $e^{-H_{\Lambda}^{h}} = 2$

$$\omega_{\Lambda}^{h} = \frac{e^{-\Lambda}}{\operatorname{Tr} e^{-H_{\Lambda}^{h}}} \in \mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}$$

ρ is equilibrium state iff

$$\lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^D} \frac{S(\rho_{\Lambda} \| \omega_{\Lambda}^h)}{|\Lambda|} = 0$$

The specific quantum W_1 distance

• Specific W_1 distance for TI quantum states

$$w_1(\rho,\sigma) = \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^D} \frac{\|\rho_{\Lambda} - \sigma_{\Lambda}\|_{W_1}}{|\Lambda|}$$

• Lipschitz constant for TI quantum interactions

$$\|h\|_L = \partial_0 \sum_{0 \in \Lambda \subset \subset \mathbb{Z}^D} h_\Lambda$$

Duality

$$w_1(\rho,\sigma) = \sup_{\|h\|_L \le 1} \left(E_h(\rho) - E_h(\sigma) \right)$$

Continuity of the specific entropy

$$|s(\rho) - s(\sigma)| \le h_2(w_1(\rho, \sigma)) + w_1(\rho, \sigma) \ln(d^2 - 1)$$

w_1 -Gibbs states

• TI state ρ is w_1 -Gibbs state of TI interaction *h* if

$$\lim_{\Lambda\uparrow\mathbb{Z}^{D}}\frac{\left\|\rho_{\Lambda}-\omega_{\Lambda}^{h}\right\|_{W_{1}}}{\left|\Lambda\right|}=0$$

- If it exists, w₁-Gibbs state is unique and is equilibrium state!
- TI interaction *h* satisfies TCI with constant *c* if for any TI state ρ $\limsup_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^D} \frac{\|\rho_{\Lambda} - \omega_{\Lambda}^h\|_{W_1}^2}{|\Lambda|^2} \leq \frac{c}{2} \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^D} \frac{S(\rho_{\Lambda} \| \omega_{\Lambda}^h)}{|\Lambda|}$
- In this case, *h* has unique equilibrium state which is also *w*₁-Gibbs state
- TCI satisfied above critical temperature by any finiterange commuting interaction

Shallow quantum circuits

 Expand W₁ distance by at most twice the size of the largest light-cone of a qudit

$$\left\| U \rho U^{\dagger} - U \sigma U^{\dagger} \right\|_{W_1} \le 2B(U) \left\| \rho - \sigma \right\|_{W_1}$$



Quadratic concentration for product states

ω product state

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\omega} H \leq n \left\| H \right\|_{L}^{2}$$

• ρ output of quantum circuit with blow-up B

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\rho} H \le 4n \, B^2 \, \|H\|_L^2$$

 See [Anshu, Metger, <u>arXiv:2209.02715</u>] for Gaussian concentration of observables diagonal in computational basis

Combinatorial optimization

- Goal: find bit string that maximizes cost function C
- Local cost: sum of functions each depending on O(1) bits
- Efficient classical algorithms usually achieve

 $C = a C_{\max} \qquad 0 < a \le 1$

- Example: maximum cut problem, i.e., find the bipartition of a graph that maximizes the # of edges connecting the two parts
- Associate one bit to each vertex, set to 1 bits in second half of bipartition
- NP complete!



Variational quantum algorithms

Associate one qubit to each bit, quantum Hamiltonian to cost function

$$H = \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} C(x) |x\rangle \langle x|$$

- Train parametric quantum circuit to generate high-energy states
- Example: Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm (QAOA)
- Alternate time evolution with H and mixing Hamiltonian

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{P} e^{-i\gamma_k \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i} e^{-i\beta_k H}\right) |+\rangle^{\otimes n}$$

Limitations of QAOA for MaxCut

- Toy model: *D*-regular bipartite graph (maxcut = n D / 2)
- Technical assumption:

$$C(x) \ge \left(\frac{D}{2} - \sqrt{D-1}\right) \min\{|x|, n-|x|\} \quad \forall x \in \{0,1\}^n$$

- Satisfied by Ramanujan expander graphs with D≥3 and for large n by random D-regular graphs with high probability
- Observation [Bravyi *et al.*, <u>PRL 125, 260505 (2020)</u>]: QAOA circuit commutes with X^{⊗n}
- Probability distribution of output measurement symmetric wrt flipping all bits and cannot be concentrated on single string

Limitations of QAOA for MaxCut

• Result: if

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho H\right] \ge C_{\max}\left(\frac{5}{6} + \frac{\sqrt{D-1}}{3D}\right)$$

then the quadratic concentration inequality implies

$$P \ge \frac{1}{2\log(D+1)}\log\frac{n}{576} = \Omega(\log n)$$

- Holds for any circuit and initial state commuting with $X^{\otimes n}$
- Improves Bravyi et al.

$$P \ge \frac{1}{3(D+1)} \log_2 \frac{n}{4096}$$

Further applications

Quantum Wasserstein Generative Adversarial Networks
[Kiani, GdP, Marvian, Liu, Lloyd, <u>Quantum Sci Technol 7, 045002 (2022)</u>]

 Design of quantum error correcting codes
 [Zoratti, GdP, Kiani, Nguyen, Marvian, Lloyd, Giovannetti, Phys. Rev. A 108, 022611 (2023)]

Efficient learning of quantum states
 [Rouzé, França, <u>arXiv:2107.03333]</u>
 [Onorati, Rouzé, França, Watson, <u>arXiv:2301.12946]</u>
 [GdP, Klein, Pastorello, <u>arXiv:2309.08426]</u>

Quantum rate-distortion theory